

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,027	SERIZAWA, KAZUYOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh D. Vo	2189	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Thanh D. Vo.

(3) _____.

 (2) John R. Mattingly (Reg. No. 30,2930.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2006
Time: 3:30PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, 14--19.

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with Applicant's counsel with respect to allowable subject matter in claims 4, 7, 11 and revising the term "a capability" in claim 14 to "a method" and omitting the term "allowing" in claims 15-18 since it renders the claim indefinite. In addition, Examiner suggested the phrase "A program stored on a computer readable storage medium executing a method on a computer" in claim 14 to be rewritten as "A computer readable storage medium storing a program executing a method on a computer".